8. Marginal and Absorption Costing

(a) **Overhead Apportionment**

(i) Overhead to be absorbed by each Department stating clearly the basis of apportionment used (29)

Overhead	Basis	Total	Dept. A	Dept. B	Dept. 1	Dept. 2
Dep. of equipment	Book value (1)	24,000	11,250 (1)	3,750 (1)	3,000 (1)	6,000 (1)
Dep. of factory buildings	Floor area (1)	36,000	18,000 (1)	4,500 (1)	9,000 (1)	4,500 (1)
Factory heating	Volume (1)	8,400	2,100 (1)	4,200 (1)	840 (1)	1,260 (1)
Factory cleaning	Floor area (1)	3,200	1,600 (1)	400 (1)	800 (1)	400 (1)
Factory canteen	No. of employees (1)	12,600	5,040 (1)	4,320(1)	1,080 (1)	2,160 (1)
		84,200	37,990 (1)	17,170 (1)	14,720 (1)	14,320 (1)

* Accept correct figures only.

(ii) Transfer of Service Departments costs to Production Departments A and B on the basis of machine hours

Overhead Basis	Dept. A	Dept. B	Dept. 1	Dept. 2
Total Cost	37,990	17,170	14,720	14,320
Apportion Dept. 1 to Production Depts. A and B	*8,832 (2)	5,888 (2)	(14,720)	
Apportion Dept. 2 to Production Depts. A and B	*8,592 (2)	5,728 (2)		(14,320)
	55,414	28,728		

* Allow marks for student's own figures if consistent with previous work but must split in ratio 3:2.

(iii) Machine hour overhead absorption rates for Departments A and B

Dept. A:	Machine hour overhead absorption rate = $\frac{**55,414}{*6,000}$ (2) (1)
	= $* \in 9.24$ per machine hour (1)
Dept. B:	Machine hour overhead absorption rate $= \frac{**28,786}{*4,000} (2)$ $= * \in 7.20 \text{ per machine hour (1)}$

* Accept correct figures only.

** Allow marks for student's own figures if consistent with previous work.

(iv) Explain what is meant by 're-apportionment' of overheads (2×2)

where Service Department costs are re-apportioned between production departments

- because overheads can only be recovered by being included as part of the cost of production

(v) Under-absorption of overheads

Explanation (2)

- when costs are under recovered - budgeted costs are less than actual costs

<u>Example</u>

- Any 2: (2 × 1)
- cost of fuel / power rises //
- depreciation //
- cost of cleaning / canteen increases // etc.
- ** Accept other appropriate answers.

(8)

(8)

(4)

(4)

(b) **Stock Valuation**

Value of closing stock using the 'First in/First out' (FIFO) method (i)

Purchases		Cost		Purchases
<u>in Units</u>		Price		at Cost
6,800	(a)	€5.00	=	34,000
4,300	ā	€6.00	=	25,800
5,900	ă	€7.00	=	41,300
7,200	(ā)	€8.00	=	57,600
24,200	C			158,700
Sales		Selling		Sales
in Units		Price		Value
1,200	a,	€10.00	=	12,000
2,600	$\overset{\bigcirc}{a}$	€9.00	=	23,400
1,400	$\overset{\bigcirc}{a}$	€11.00	=	15,400
3,100	$\overset{\bigcirc}{a}$	€10.00	=	31,000
900	$\overset{\bigcirc}{a}$	€11.00	=	9,900
2,600	$\overset{\bigcirc}{a}$	€10.00	=	26,000
800	$\overset{\bigcirc}{a}$	€12.00	=	9,600
1,600	$\overset{\bigcirc}{a}$	€11.00	=	17,600
14,200	0			144,900
,				
Closing Stoc	k in units			
Opening Stor	nck			4 100 (1)
+ Purchases	, ex			24 200 (2)
· I urenuses				28 300
_ Sales				(14,200)(2)
Closing Stor	ok (unite)			14,200)(2)
Closing Stor	ck (units)			14,100
Closing Stoc	k in €			
$7200 \text{ units } (1) @ \in 8 00 (1)$				57 800 (2)
$5900\text{units}(1)@\in 700(1)$				41 300 (2)
1000units	(1) @ €6.00 (1)		6 000 (2)
1,000 units ((1) @ 00.00 (-,		*104900(2)
				107,700 (2)

* Accept correct figure only.

Trading Account for the year ending 31/12/2011			
	E	€	
Sales		*144,900 (3)	
Less Cost of Sales			
Opening Stock (4,100 units × €5.00)	**20,500 (2)		
Purchases	*158,700 (3)		
	179,200		
Less Closing Stock	*(104,900)(2)	(74,300)	
Gross Profit		*70,600 (4)	

* Allow marks for student's own figures if consistent with previous work.
** Accept correct figure only.

(13)

(ii)

(14)